

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

AMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO

APPLICATION NO. 09/077, 20/	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED I	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/0//, 20/	05/26/98	INOUE		S	JA040840	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE FO BOX 19928		MM91/0110	乛	EXAMINER		
				PRENTY,	. M	
ALEXANDRIA V	4 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2822	10	
				DATE MAILED: 01/10/01		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

6 5 mg

Application No. 09/077,207

Applicant(s

INOUE et al.

Examiner

Prenty

Group Art Unit 2822



ТН	E PERI	OD FOR	RESPONS	E: [check or	nly a) or b)]				
	a) 💢	expires	three	months from t	he mailing date of the	final rejection.			
	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whicher is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the finite rejection.								
	date on	ı which th ining the	ne response, 1 period of exte	he petition, ar	nd the fee have been fi	led is the date of th t of the fee. Any ex	ie response and also xtension fee pursuant	e and the appropriate fee. The the date for the purposes of t to 37 CFR 1.17 will be bove.	
	Appell period	ant's Br for resp	ief is due tv oonse set fo	vo months for th above, v	rom the date of the vhichever is later).	Notice of Appea See 37 CFR 1.1	al filed on 91(d) and 37 CFR	(or within any 1.192(a).	
Ap _l but	plicant is NO	's respo T deem	nse to the f ed to place	inal rejection the applicati	n, filed on <u>Dec 2</u> ion in condition for	28, 2000 has ballowance:	oeen considered w	rith the following effect,	
X	The pr	oposed	amendmen	t(s):					
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.									
	X wi	ill not be	entered be	cause:					
	X	they ra	ise new iss	ues that wo	uld require further c	onsideration and	or search. (See r	note below).	
		they ra	ise the issu	e of new ma	itter. (See note bel	ow).			
		issues	for appeal.	·				reducing or simplifying the	
		they pr	esent additi	onal claims	without cancelling	corresponding	number of finally r	ejected claims.	
	NO							sented independent claims	
		<u>22</u>	<u>?-24 raise n</u>	ew issues <u>th</u>	at would require fu	rther considerati	on and/or search.		
	□ Ap	oplicant'	s response	has overcon	ne the following rej	ection(s):			
	Newly separ	y propos ate, time	sed or amer ely filed am	ded claims endment car	ncelling the non-allo	wable claims.	would be a	llowable if submitted in a	
			exhibit or r because:	equest for re	econsideration has l	peen considered	but does NOT plac	ce the application in condition	
			or exhibit w in the final		onsidered because	it is not directed	SOLELY to issues	which were newly raised by	
X	•	or purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):							
				10-13, and	18-21				
), and 14-17					
	The p	roposed	drawing co	orrection file				approved by the Examiner.	
	Note	the atta	ched Inform	ation Disclo	sure Statement(s),	PTO-1449, Pape	r No(s)	<u> </u>	
	Other							Mark Prenty	
								Mark V. Prenty/ Primary Examinar	